## Applying and Problem-Solving

## Measures

Area

## Analytic Rubric for Jarring Problem

This analytic rubric provides a framework for evaluating a student's performance in determining the minimum size of the table required for 100 jars of jam and considering the sensibility of the answers in terms of practicality and safety, jar positioning, and proximity to the table edge.

| Element | h <br> The learner | i <br> The learner | j <br> The learner | k <br> The learner |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Applying and <br> problem-solving | Devises strategies to <br> calculate measures where <br> necessary (For example - <br> adding or subtracting <br> measurements). | Uses measurement of an <br> object to determine if it is <br> suitable for a given <br> purpose (For example - <br> will it fit?). | Solves problems and <br> practical tasks involving <br> measurements of more <br> than one attribute. | Solves problems of <br> increasing complexity <br> involving the <br> interpretation, calculation <br> and presentation of <br> measurements. |
| Measures and records |  |  |  |  |
| with increasing accuracy |  |  |  |  |
| and precision. |  |  |  |  |$\quad$| Calculates measurements |
| :--- |
| with increasing accuracy |
| in purposeful contexts. |$\quad$| Applies formulae in a |
| :--- |
| meaningful way to solve |
| problems efficiently. |$\quad$| Refines decision making <br> for the purposes of more <br> efficient problem-solving. |
| :--- |

(NCCA, 2022, p. 39)

| Category | Criteria | Observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum Table Size <br> Correctly determines the minimum size of the table required for 100 jars of jam. <br> Considers the outline of the widest part of the jam jar ( 9 cm in diameter) and the need to prevent toppling. <br> Provides a clear and logical calculation or reasoning. | I. Accurately determines the minimum size <br> II. Gives one solution to the problem by applying length by width rule. Calculates the computation using $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$. <br> III. Provides a reasonable estimate of the minimum size <br> IV. Provides an inaccurate estimation/guess of the minimum table size |  |
| Consideration of Sensibility <br> Identifies multiple sensible answers for the table size. <br> Considers practicality, functionality, and safety aspects in relation to placing the jars on the table. <br> Discusses the positioning of jars in relation to the edge of the table. | I. Identifies multiple sensible answers and discusses the positioning of the proximity of the jars to the edge of the table <br> II. Identifies sensible answers. Provides a reasonable discussion of the positioning of the jars in relation to the edge of the table <br> III. Gives more than one solution to the problem. Converts $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ into $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ by dividing by 10000 . <br> IV. Identifies only one answer for the table size. The discussion of the positioning of the jars is unrealistic or impractical in relation to the table edge |  |

## Reasoning and Explanation

Provides well-reasoned and detailed
explanations for the chosen minimum table size and jar placement.

Demonstrates an understanding of factors such as stability, space utilisation, and avoiding toppling.
I. Provides detailed and well-supported reasoning
II. Provides reasons with some supporting evidence
III. Gives more than two solutions to the problem. Moves flexibly between $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{m}^{2}$. Consider that it would not be wise to have the jam jars right to the edge of the table but to allow a 1 cm approx. border all the way around in finding their solution.
IV. Provides adequate but unsupported reasons or limited explanations for the chosen minimum table size

